

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

MA

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,306	03/29/2004	Masumi Kubo	1035-503	9652
23117 7590 12/19/2007 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			ZUBAJLO, JENNIFER L	
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

r)

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/811,306	KUBO, MASUMI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jennifer Zubajlo	2629		

Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Jennifer Zubajlo	2629						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 26 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the foll places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a national Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	on the same day as filing a owing replies: (1) an amer lotice of Appeal (with appe	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abo ndment, affidavit, or other evide eal fee) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mail	ing date of the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	n, but prior to the date of fil	ling a brief, will not be entered t	ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be		•						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling		of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)		Shar Campliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1		of Non-Compliant Amendment	(FTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection		separate timely filed amondm	ont canceling the					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		·						
7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	and sufficient reasons why	the affidavit or other evidence	is necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filir entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess	o overcome <u>all</u> rejections u ary and was not earlier pre	inder appeal and/or appellant fa esented.  See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanate REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ion of the status of the cla	ims after entry is below or attac	hed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered see attached Response to Arguments.	but does NOT place the a	pplication in condition for allowa	ince because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:								
	i							

Application/Control Number: 10/811,306

Art Unit: 2629

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 11/26/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the invention of claims 1, 14, and 15 relate to a VA-type LCD, whereas Mosier relates to a TN-type LCD and references Mosier column 1 lines 28, figures 3 and 4, and column 3 line 15 to column 5 line 4. Examiner agrees that Mosier (column 1 lines 28) references a TN-type LCD however, it is referenced as one type of LCD and is only referenced in Mosier's background of invention/ prior art and not in Summary of Invention, Description of Drawings, or Claims. Mosier's invention is not limited to TN-type LCD's and therefore reads on Applicant's claims 1, 14, and 15. Applicant argues that Mosier fails to disclose or suggest "when both of transmittance at the front and transmittance at an oblique viewing angle are 1 in white display, having such display characteristics that transmission intensity at the oblique viewing angle is larger than transmission intensity at the front", however Mosier does teach this (see column 10 lines 49-66, column 11 lines 1-23 and 45-65, and column 12 lines 1-11).
- 2. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., vertically aligned) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Art Unit: 2629

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Zubajlo whose telephone number is (571) 270-1551. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8 am - 5 pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amare Mengistu can be reached on (571) 272-7674. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JZ 12/11/07

AMARE MENGISTO ()
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER